

Agenda

- **An overview of EHR-to-EDC connectors**
10 minutes
- Sanofi's implementation experience
5 Minutes
- Implementation considerations at the site level
10 minutes
- Q&A
15 minutes

Overcoming EHR-to-EDC Implementation Challenges in Oncology Trials



Emily Akin

Head, Research Network
Flatiron Health



Mariel Boyd

Director, Product Management
Flatiron Health



Dawn C. S. Snow

Early Development Sr. Global Study Data Leader
Sanofi

Data entry problems **impede clinical trial efficiency and cost effectiveness** for sites and sponsors

TODAY'S CHALLENGES



Burden & complexity of **data capture** is increasing



Data **quality assurance** is costly & time consuming



Staffing shortages & turnover impede research



Manual data entry is prevalent & resource intensive

FACTS AND FIGURES

Late stage trials typically use **3X more** data vs. 10 yrs ago¹

Data management represents **25-40% of overall trial costs**²

63% of sites list staff retention as a top concern³

74% of sites still use paper for source data collection

EHR-to-EDC technology **automates data transfer between systems**, leading to **faster data entry, improved data accuracy**, and **reduced site burden**.

Recent research shows a substantial portion of oncology trial data is eligible for EHR-to-EDC transfer

BACKGROUND:

This study describes the availability of data eligible for EHR-to-EDC transfer across a portfolio of oncology trials.

METHODS:

Five oncology studies were selected to represent diverse phases and disease types; data volume by element/field was ascertained for each, and data elements were reviewed for EHR-to-EDC transfer eligibility.

RESULTS:

Across 5 diverse oncology trials, **86% (n = 15799) of collected data points were eligible for EHR-to-EDC transfer.**

Volume of Patient Data Elements and Proportion Eligible for EHR-to-EDC Transfer

Study Phase	Disease	No.	%
		Total collected	Eligible for transfer
I	Acute myeloid leukemia	2813	87
III	Breast cancer	3175	85
II	Gastric cancer	2063	81
III	Lung cancer	7180	87
I/II	Multiple myeloma	3207	86
Total		18438	86

Flatiron Clinical Pipe™ captures **more data than other tools**, including trial-specific and unstructured data

42,132

Data points collected across 82 patients for 12 month active enrollment period¹

92%

Clinical Pipe eligible data¹

Example Trial Data Source Breakdown



① Routinely Captured **Structured** Data

Routinely captured data with high completeness & quality via EHR integration

② Trial-Specific **Structured** Data

Industry-first, EHR-embedded trial-specific capture

③ **Unstructured** Data

Proprietary unstructured data capabilities to supplement structured data

Clinical Pipe™ supports **90+ research sites** across academic and community healthcare settings



Sites using Clinical Pipe™ complete fewer time-intensive, duplicative data entry tasks

- Enables sites to spend **more time on protocol adherence** and **taking care of patients**
- **Reduces** the number of **queries** and **onsite monitoring visits**
- **Free for sites** to use and **does not commercialize data**
- Only requires a **one-time set-up and contracting**, after which sites may use on any future Flatiron Clinical Pipe-enabled protocol
- Onboarding and ongoing usage supported via **1:1 trainings, group trainings, onsite and virtual support, and dedicated email support**

[This study] is the easiest study for me to do data entry for... Clinical Pipe™ helps reduce transcription errors. It's been great... I would like it for all of my studies..."

- Data Manager, large academic medical center

Poll #1

Are you interested in learning more about Flatiron Clinical Pipe?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Agenda

- An overview of EHR-to-EDC connectors
10 minutes
- **Sanofi's implementation experience**
5 Minutes
- Implementation considerations at the site level
10 minutes
- Q&A
15 minutes

Sanofi deployed Clinical Pipe™ to transfer data for Phase I oncology studies

Existing Partnership Overview

- Sanofi began using Flatiron Clinical Pipe in 2023 for routinely-collected structured data to enable a reduction in time and costs associated with both manual, high-volume data entry and downstream data resolution issues for sites
- Clinical Pipe has supported **two oncology trials** (one ongoing, one closed), with more planned to follow
- The evidence from the closed study indicated that using Clinical Pipe even for the limited scope of structured data provided the below benefits:
 - **Over 2.2K data points transferred** during pilot
 - An average of **1 hour of time savings** per patient visit on laboratory data entry alone
 - **~90% query reduction** compared to data entered manually at other sites for these forms
 - More time back for study coordinators to **focus on entering complex data types** (AEs, SAEs, etc.)

Flatiron Health Embarks on Strategic Collaboration with Sanofi to Make Clinical Trials More Efficient by Streamlining Data Acquisition at the Point of Care

May 1, 2023

One of the industry's first scalable EHR-to-EDC technology, efficiently, resulting in faster access to cleaner data with

Flatiron Health announced a new collaboration to redesign the clinical trial experience for sponsors, area of oncology.

The multi-study collaboration between Sanofi and trial data acquisition, delivery, and quality through transfers data captured in the electronic health record data capture (EDC) system through Flatiron Health Pipe™. Clinical trial sites will be able to reduce time data entry and downstream data resolution issues with patients.



Key takeaways from Sanofi's Clinical Pipe implementation



Set-up and testing the integration of Clinical Pipe presented **minimal impact** to the Sanofi study teams



Clinical Pipe's **flexible mapping** supported Sanofi's requirement that the eCRF **not require special fields or redesign**



With **ongoing support and training**, site acceptance of Clinical Pipe was encouraging



Leveraging standard forms paired with the associated mappings **enhances reusability across studies** and **increases efficiency of use** for new study teams and trials

Agenda

- An overview of EHR-to-EDC connectors
10 minutes
- Sanofi's implementation experience
5 Minutes
- **Adoption challenges at the site level**
10 minutes
- Q&A
15 minutes

Common challenges impacting site adoption

OVERLOAD OF TECH TOOLS

55% of sites³ listed supporting various tools as a top concern when participating in tech enabled clinical trials

STAKEHOLDER MISALIGNMENT

95% of sponsors believe sites value their software, but only **62.5% of sites²** feel that sponsors adequately address their technology needs.

RESOURCE ALLOCATION

Sites are **juggling requests** for implementations, troubleshooting, and other tasks that **strain their internal resources**.

CONTRACTING AND BUDGETING

43% of sites³ listed financial compensation as a significant barrier to participating in a tech-enabled trial.

WORKFLOW DISRUPTION

76% of sites¹ rank **sponsor willingness to integrate** with their systems as their top technology expectation.

PRIVACY AND LEGAL REVIEWS

For each new technology, sites must undertake privacy and legal reviews that **extend contracting and startup timelines**.

Poll #2

What additional barriers to site adoption have you encountered?

Strategies to overcome site adoption challenges

Explore paths to **standardize budget and contracting** and **support technology reimbursement**



Partner with sites to identify areas for technological investment and to review potential vendors



Select technology **that integrates with sites' current tech and processes**



Work with vendors to support **initial training** and **ongoing support and retraining** for sites



Agenda

- An overview of EHR-to-EDC connectors
10 minutes
- Sanofi's implementation experience
5 Minutes
- Adoption challenges at the site level
10 minutes
- **Q&A**
15 minutes

Poll #3

What other topics related to clinical trial innovation would you like to see discussed in a future webinar?



Thank you